1

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN JOSE DIVISION

| 2 | In re Google Play Consumer Antitrust Litigation | CASE NO. 3:20-CV-05761 JD |
|-----|---|---|
| 3 | | |
| 4 | Related Actions: Epic Games, Inc. v. Google, LLC; 3:20-CV- | [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING |
| 5 | 05671-JD In re Google Play Developer Antitrust | MOTION [TO STRIKE] [FOR LEAVE TO FILE A RESPONSE] |
| 6 | Litigation, 3:20-CV-5792-JD | |
| 7 | | 1 |
| 8 9 | The Court has reviewed Plaintiff Carr, Bentley and Carroll's ("Plaintiffs") Motion to Strike | |
| 10 | or Alternative Motion for Leave to Respond to Cotchett, Pitre & McCarthy and Kaplan Fox & | |
| 11 | Kilsheimer LLP's Memorandum in Further Support and hereby rules as follows: | |
| 12 | Plaintiffs' Motion to Strike is GRANTED. Cotchett, Pitre & McCarthy and | |
| 13 | Kaplan Fox & Kilsheimer LLP ("Kaplan/Cotchett") did not seek leave to file the Memorandum | |
| 14 | which is not permitted absent leave from this Court and which, if considered, would effectively | |
| 15 | expand their initial memorandum well beyond this Court's page limits. Accordingly, the | |
| 16 | Memorandum is STRICKEN, and this Court will not consider the arguments, facts, and/or | |
| 17 | information contained in the Memorandum when selecting interim lead class counsel in this case. | |
| 18 | Plaintiffs' Alternative Motion for Leave to Respond is GRANTED. Plaintiffs are | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | granted leave to immediately file the Response attached as Exhibit 1 to their Motion. | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | IT IS SO ORDERED. | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | Dated: | |
| 25 | | Hon. James Donato |
| 26 | | United States District Judge |
| 27 | | |